# Effects of low-intensity resistance exercise with vascular occlusion on physical function in healthy elderly people 
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Summary Some successful fall prevention programs include resistance or balance training, but less is known about the effects of low-intensity resistance exercise with moderate vascular occlusion (LIO) on physical function in healthy elderly people. In LIO, appropriate pressure is applied to the proximal parts of the upper and lower extremities with a specially designed belt. The reduction of muscle blood flow is considered likely to induce the secretion of growth hormone. The aim of this study was to compare the effects of two training programs, LIO versus dynamic balance exercise (DBE) in elderly people in a community. Fifty-one healthy subjects aged 65 and older were randomly assigned to the LIO program $(n=24)$ or the DBE program $(n=27)$. Performance, balance, muscle strength were measured in both groups before and after the 8 -week programs. In addition, blood was sampled from LIO participants $(n=11)$ and analyzed for growth hormone and lactate. Overall improvements, but no group differences, were found in performance and balance after the programs. Muscle strength in the lower extremities was significantly increased in the LIO group, but not in the DBE group. Growth hormone was significantly increased immediately after LIO. The 8-week LIO program improved physical function, especially muscle strength, which may be associated with the exercise-induced secretion of growth hormone. Further studies are needed to determine the contents and duration of an LIO program for elderly people.
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## 1. Introduction

Muscle strength is one of the predictive factors for functional decline in the aged population. Lower limb muscle strength in the elderly is associated with walking ability (1) and activities of daily living (ADL) (2). Performing exercises such as walking, jogging, or recreational physical activities on a regular basis has been reported to improve muscle strength, flexibility, endurance, and balance in older adults (3). In addition, an intervention study on falls prevention demonstrated

[^0]the beneficial effects of training for the improvement of muscle strength and balance (4). Exercise programs including balance training are also often recommended for reducing the risk of falling. Dynamic Balance Exercise (DBE), which consists of slow, rotational and multisegmental movements with sequential weight shifting, has been shown to improve balance responses (5). Balance retraining program including DBE was shown to be practical and useful in fall risk reduction (6).

The effects of resistance training have been evaluated using a number of indicators, such as muscle strength of the muscle group to be trained, lean body mass, bone density, lower back pain, muscle function, and performance, including walking velocity and stair ascension and descension (7). A meta-analysis of 62 randomized comparative studies has demonstrated that lower limb muscle strength and walking velocity are
useful indicators for evaluation (8).
Exercise at an intensity equal to or higher than 65\% of 1 repetition maximum (1-RM) has been shown to improve muscle strength (9). Muscle strength can also be improved by a $20-25 \%$ low-intensity resistance exercise combined with moderate vascular occlusion (LIO) in active muscle groups (10). The level of muscle strength required for LIO is almost equivalent to that of daily living activities. In this method, low intensity training is performed while applying appropriate pressure to the proximal parts of the upper and lower limbs with a pair of special elastic belts for a given length of time. In the study by Takarada et al. (10), plasma levels of growth hormone, norepinephrine, and lactate were remarkably increased after the exercise with occlusion. Furthermore, LIO for a short-time and low-intensity exercise had a significant effect equal to or greater than high-intensity resistance training on an increase in muscular size and strength (11). Among a number of intervention studies designed to slow functional decline, none has been conducted to closely examine performance, muscle strength, and balance in healthy elderly people by using LIO.

The primary effect of DBE is to improve balance, and muscle strength and performance are also expected to increase through the exercise. However, no study has ever compared DBE and LIO directly. In addition, although a significant increase of growth hormone was observed after LIO in young subjects, there has been no data available for the elderly. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was twofold: 1) to determine whether LIO is equally or more effective than DBE regarding performance and balance, and muscle strength and 2) to measure changes of growth hormone and lactate before and after a single bout with LIO, in communitydwelling healthy elderly people.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study design and subjects

The current study was designed to compare the effects of two different training programs. In April 2004, we compiled a list of all residents aged 65 years and older living in a village in Nagano Prefecture. Among them, 350 people were excluded due to long-term nursing care, hospitalization, or death. Healthcare volunteers distributed a description of the physical training programs to the remaining 1,864 people. In June 2004, eighty-seven of them underwent medical check-ups. A public health nurse explained the contents and schedule of the LIO and DBE programs.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) unable to participate in training sessions for 8 weeks; 2) joint or muscular problems as a result of daily exercise; 3) uncontrolled hypertension: systolic pressure of over 160 mmHg and/or diastolic pressure of over 100
mmHg (those who had controlled blood pressure under antihypertensive therapy were considered eligible); 4) chronic inflammatory conditions; 5) history of myocardial infarction within the last 6 months; and 6) severe paralysis, joint degeneration, or arthralgia in their extremities. In addition, we excluded subjects who were suspected of being at high risk of developing venous thrombosis or other cardiovascular diseases. Among the 87 people who underwent the check-ups, 19 were excluded due to medical problems. Of the 68 who were screened, 51 agreed to participate in the study and signed an informed consent. They were randomly assigned to an 8-week program of either LIO $(n=24)$ or DBE $(n=27)$ (Figure 1). The Medical Ethical Committee of Shinshu University School of Medicine approved the protocol of the study.

### 2.2. Training protocol

### 2.2.1. LIO program

Low intensity training was performed while applying appropriate pressure to the proximal parts of the thighs with a pair of special elastic belts (width 45 mm , length $1,250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). A physical therapist and a public health nurse served as instructors on the training program twice a week for 8 weeks. Twenty-four subjects were divided into 5 groups and participated in 45-min training sessions (Figure 2). Those with systolic pressure over 140 mmHg before the training were instructed to take a rest until the pressure dropped below that level. In the event that their systolic pressure did not drop, they did exercises without a belt. The belt contained a small pneumatic bag (width 33 mm , length 140 mm ) along its inner surface that was connected to an electronic pressure gauge (model M.P.S.-700 developed by Y. Sato and manufactured by VINE Medical Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). A varying level of occlusion pressure was applied through pneumatic inflation. The level of pressure applied during the training was determined according to the age and blood pressure of the subjects. The initial pressure on the thighs was set at 70 mmHg , a pressure level where peripheral blood flow is not impaired. The maximum pressure was set at up to 1.2 times the systolic blood pressure level of individual subjects or at a subjectively tolerable level. Applied pressure from the belt was to be released immediately if there was any complaint of discomfort during the training. Table 1 represents a flow of the 8 -week LIO training.

The training program consisted of 6 different movements: lowering the body until the knees are flexed at a 60 degree angle (half squats); stepping forward with one leg and lowering the body to 90 degrees with both knees (forward lunges); raising heels up and down in a standing posture (calf raises); lifting one knee and then the other up to waist level, alternating legs (knee lifts); lying on the floor with knees bent and hands


Figure 1. Sampling process.

| start |  | 1.check blood pressure 2.ask health status |  | 1.wear specially designed belt <br> 2.set moderate pressure | $\rightarrow$ | LIO program $1 \rightarrow$ | rest time | $\rightarrow$ | LIO program $2 \rightarrow$ | end |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| time: <br> staff: |  | 10 min <br> publilc health nurse |  | $10 \mathrm{~min}$ <br> physical therapist publilc health nurse |  | $15 \mathrm{~min}$ <br> physical therapist <br> publilc health nurse | 5 min |  | $15 \mathrm{~min}$ <br> physical therapist publilc health nurse |  |
| one session: four or five participants per group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2. One LIO program session.
behind head, then raising the head and shoulders until the shoulder blades are clear off the floor (crunches); and flexing and extending the knees while sitting on the edge of a chair (knee flexion and extension while seated).

### 2.2.2. DBE program

The aim of the DBE program was to enhance skill in posture position and dynamic stability. The same physical therapist and public health nurse who took part in the LIO also served as instructors on the DBE program once a week for 8 weeks. Twenty-seven subjects were divided into 2 groups, each of which
participated in 90-min training sessions. The following was the content of the DBE instruction: symmetrical and asymmetrical movements; forward and lateral reach; forward and backward steps; standing and walking on a reduced base of support; increasing the complexity of ambulatory tasks; and functional ankle strengthening. Movements were performed on two balance mats (80 mm high $\times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide $\times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ deep, Toyoda Gosei Co., Ltd., Nagoya, Japan).

### 2.3. Evaluation 1: Physical function tests

In July 2004, we asked subjects concerning their basic

Table 1. Eight-week course of low-intensity exercise with occlusion

| Period | Max Belt Pressure | Schedule |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Week 1 | $70-80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | Orientation, 5 sets of 10 repetitions of 6 different movements. |
| Week 2 | $90-100 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | $\begin{array}{l}3 \text { sets of } 10 \text { repetitions of } 6 \text { different movements. Rest for } 5 \text { min. } 2 \text { sets } \\ \text { of } 10 \text { repetitions of } 6 \text { different movements. }\end{array}$ |
| Week 3 | $110-120 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | Same as week 2 |$\}$| Same as week 2 |
| :--- |
| Week 4 |
| Week 5 |
| Week 6 |

characteristics such as sex, age, family structure, current health status, and history of falls. The 8-week intervention was conducted from late July to early September that year. Various physical functions as stated below were evaluated before and after the intervention period.

### 2.3.1. Performance

The following 4 items were measured. 1) Reaction time: subjects jumped from a standing posture as quickly as possible in response to optical stimulation. Time from stimulation to the onset of performance was measured. The shorter the reaction time, the greater the level of quickness. 2) Timed up and go test (hereinafter referred to as "TUGT"): after standing up at a given signal from a seated position on a chair, the subjects walked up to and around a target object 3 meters away and then returned to sit down on the chair (12). The time taken to complete the process was recorded. 3) Ten-meter walking time: time taken to walk as fast as possible on a 10 -meter straight line was measured. 4) Maximum step distance: the length of a step taken as far forward as possible from a standing position was determined.

### 2.3.2. Balance

Functional reach and the duration of time spent standing on one leg were measured. In functional reach, subjects first stood comfortably upright and then reached forward as far as possible without stepping or losing their balance (13). As for standing on one leg, the duration was measured with eyes open and looking at an object 1.5 meters ahead.

### 2.3.3. Muscle strength

Grip strength was measured by a digital handgrip dynamometer (Takei Scientific Instruments Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Isometric knee extension muscle strength was measured in a sitting position at a knee angle of 90 degrees using a dynamometer, GT-30 (OG Giken Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

### 2.4. Evaluation 2: Blood tests

In order to evaluate the biochemical parameters, plasma levels of growth hormone and lactate were measured in 11 subjects ( 3 males and 8 females) who participated in the 8 -week LIO program and consented to blood sampling. On the 6th week, LIO with belt pressure of 140 to 150 mmHg was performed for 15 min. Blood was then taken from the 11 subjects, who were instructed to eat more than 2 h before the start of the session. The timing of blood collection was before, after, and 15 min after the session (10).

### 2.5. Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed with StatView package (ver. 5.0 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) and the significance level was fixed at $5 \%$. The results of physical function tests were analyzed to evaluate differences due to group (LIO versus DBE) and time (baseline versus follow-up) by using repeated measures ANOVA. Regarding characteristics of subjects, age difference between LIO and DBE was compared by $t$-test, and chi-square test was used for the rest of parameters. One-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to compare the variance of growth hormone and lactate before, after, and 15 min after the LIO.

## 3. Results

Participants in the two groups were similar at the baseline for demographics, presence of chronic diseases, self-rated health, self-reports of falls and physical activity (Table 2). The mean age of those who completed the final evaluation was $70.7 \pm 4.3$ of LIO and $70.6 \pm 5.0$ of DBE, ranging from 65 to 79 years. Mean participation frequency were $14.2 \pm 1.5$ times out of a total of 16 times (88.8\%) in the LIO and $6.5 \pm 1.4$ times out of a total of 8 times (81.3\%) in the DBE group. No subjects reported serious adverse effects as a result of the programs.

In the LIO group, 19 out of the 24 subjects completed the final evaluation. Three subjects dropped out, of whom one participated 9 times and the others participated only once. Two people failed to undergo follow-up evaluation after the completion of the program. The reasons for not attending the follow-up were poor physical condition and family problems, respectively. In the DBE group, 25 out of the 27 subjects completed the final evaluation. Two people dropped out after attending the program only once (Figure 1).

### 3.1. Evaluation 1: Physical function tests

Table 3 shows the result of physical function tests
before and after the two programs. Both the LIO and DBE programs brought improvements in reaction time, maximum step distance, 10-meter walking time, functional reach test, and standing on one leg (both leg). In repeated measures ANOVA for measuring interactive effects by groups and types of intervention, TUGT and knee extension in the LIO group were items that showed significant improvement (TUGT, $p<0.001$; left knee, $p<0.001$; right knee, $p=0.007$ ).

### 3.2 Evaluation 2: Blood tests

One-way repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant increase of growth hormone in post hoc test

Table 2. Characteristics of subjects ${ }^{a}$

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIO group } \\ & (n=24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DBE group } \\ (n=27) \end{gathered}$ | Statistical significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Female | 16 (66.7\%) | 18 (68.0\%) |  |
|  | Male | 8 (33.3\%) | 9 (32.0\%) | $>0.99$ |
| Age |  | $72.3 \pm 4.5$ | $71.0 \pm 4.1$ | 0.16 |
| Spouse | Yes | 18 (75.0\%) | 20 (74.0\%) |  |
|  | No | 6 (25.0\%) | 7 (26.0\%) | > 0.99 |
| Familiy composition | Alone | 3 (12.5\%) | 5 (18.6\%) |  |
|  | Couples | 13 (54.2\%) | 9 (33.3\%) |  |
|  | With single child | 6 (25.0\%) | 2 (7.4\%) |  |
|  | With young couples | 0 | 2 (7.4\%) |  |
|  | With child and grandchildren | 2 (8.3\%) | 8 (29.6\%) |  |
|  | Others | 0 | 1 (3.7\%) | 0.45 |
| Chronic Deseases | Yes | 18 (75.0\%) | 16 (64.0\%) |  |
|  | No | 6 (25.0\%) | 11 (36.0\%) | 0.76 |
| Self rated health | Good | 11 (45.8\%) | 7 (24.0\%) |  |
|  | Not so good | 12 (50.0\%) | 20 (76.0\%) |  |
|  | Bad | 1 (4.2\%) | 0 | 0.14 |
| History of fall | Yes | 11 (45.8\%) | 6 (20.0\%) |  |
|  | No | 13 (54.2\%) | 21 (80.0\%) | 0.06 |
| Difficulties from floor sitting position to standing | Yes | 10 (41.7\%) | 11 (44.0\%) |  |
|  | No | 14 (58.3\%) | 16 (56.0\%) | > 0.99 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data including categorical variables are analyzed by chi-square, and continuous data by $t$-test.
Table 3. Changes of variables before and after intervention ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$

| Category of measurements | Variables | LIO group ( $n=19$ ) |  | DBE group ( $n=25$ ) |  | $p$ Value |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline survey | Follow-up survey | Baseline survey | Follow-up survey | Main effect by group | Main effect by intervention | Interaction |
| Performance | Reaction time (ms) | $509.9 \pm 144.6$ | $448.0 \pm 66.0$ | $500.3 \pm 70.4$ | $475.0 \pm 81.2$ | 0.73 | 0.002 | 0.18 |
|  | TUGT ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (s) | $7.2 \pm 1.4$ | $6.1 \pm 0.8$ | $6.9 \pm 1.4$ | $7.3 \pm 1.5$ | 0.22 | 0.01 | < 0.001 |
|  | 10 m walking time (s) | $5.3 \pm 0.7$ | $4.8 \pm 0.7$ | $5.7 \pm 1.2$ | $5.2 \pm 0.9$ | 0.09 | < 0.001 | 0.64 |
|  | Left maximum step distance (cm) | $98.6 \pm 25.4$ | $113.9 \pm 16.4$ | $99.4 \pm 12.6$ | $111.6 \pm 13.8$ | 0.19 | < 0.001 | 0.50 |
|  | Right maximum step distance (cm) | $104.1 \pm 14.4$ | $113.2 \pm 14.0$ | $101.1 \pm 11.3$ | $109.7 \pm 12.8$ | 0.30 | $<0.001$ | 0.85 |
|  | Functional Reach Test | $27.8 \pm 4.9$ | $30.4 \pm 6.0$ | $26.5 \pm 6.3$ | $27.6 \pm 5.7$ | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.29 |
| Balance | Left leg standing time with open eye (s) | $36.0 \pm 22.9$ | $38.6 \pm 23.1$ | $32.3 \pm 19.6$ | $38.6 \pm 21.1$ | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.35 |
|  | Right leg standing time with open eye (s) | $35.8 \pm 23.3$ | $23.6 \pm 19.6$ | $38.2 \pm 23.1$ | $30.8 \pm 23.5$ | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.38 |
| Muscle strength | Left knee extension (kg) | $21.1 \pm 7.4$ | $25.4 \pm 8.2$ | $21.4 \pm 6.9$ | $20.6 \pm 5.8$ | 0.29 | 0.004 | $<0.001$ |
|  | Right knee extension (kg) | $23.1 \pm 7.2$ | $24.7 \pm 8.1$ | $22.1 \pm 6.1$ | $20.9 \pm 6.1$ | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.007 |
|  | Left grip power (kg) | $25.4 \pm 6.9$ | $26.7 \pm 8.6$ | $25.3 \pm 6.4$ | $26.0 \pm 7.9$ | 0.85 | 0.07 | 0.63 |
|  | Right grip power (kg) | $26.6 \pm 8.1$ | $27.7 \pm 9.5$ | $27.0 \pm 7.2$ | $27.0 \pm 6.8$ | 0.96 | 0.27 | 0.26 |

[^1]Table 4. Biochemical data ${ }^{a}$

|  | Pre exercise | Post exercise | 15 min later | $p$ Value <br> (Post hoc test, pre and post) | $p$ Value <br> (Post hoc test, pre and 15 min later) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth hormone $(n=11)$ | $1.8 \pm 2.3$ | $11.1 \pm 9.6$ | $7.3 \pm 7.7$ | 0.010 | 0.150 |
| Lactic acid $(n=11)$ | $10.1 \pm 4.3$ | $15.0 \pm 4.6$ | $12.2 \pm 6.3$ | 0.057 | 0.053 |

${ }^{a}$ Values are mean $\pm$ SD. One-way repeated measures ANOVA is used.


Figure 3. Changes of average knee extensor strength and growth hormone ( $n=11$ ).


Figure 4. Changes of average knee extensor strength and lactate ( $n=11$ ).
( $p=0.010$ ) (Table 4). In order to explain the relation between muscle strength and biochemical data, we plotted in scatter diagrams the changes of average knee extensor strength before and after the 8-week program with growth hormone (Figure 3), and with lactate (Figure 4). Correlation coefficient were $0.662(p<0.05)$ and 0.163 ( $p>0.05$ ), respectively. In a 75 -year-old male, growth hormone was increased approximately 293 times to that before the training (pre-exercise: 0.1 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$; immediately post-exercise: $29.3 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). After the 8 -week intervention program, left and right knee extension of the male was increased by $58.8 \%$ and $22.2 \%$, respectively.

## 4. Discussion

Although the LIO training has been reported to improve muscular function in young males and athletes and in middle-aged women, there has been no research on its effect in healthy elderly subjects. There were even or significant changes in the measured items, including performance, balance, and muscle strength as compared with DBE program without significant adverse events.

In general, performance is thought to be an
integrated ability which is comprised of multiple elements, such as muscle strength, coordination between the central nervous system and muscle, and joint flexibility. There is a report on enhanced performance through resistance training (14). In the present study, 6 different exercises were conducted while wearing a pair of special elastic belts. It is possible that the enhancement of performance can be attributed to the improved nerve-and-muscle coordination, resulting in improved muscle strength of the lower limbs (15).

The results of the functional reach test and standing on one leg were satisfactory after both LIO and DBE programs. It was likely that the subjects relearned to move the center of gravity and maintain a dynamic posture. Namely, when the subjects performed the forward lunges, they moved the center of gravity back and forth without raising their feet from the floor. In the knee lifts, while repetitively and alternately standing on one of the legs, the subjects maintained their center of gravity within the narrow base of support. Similar to a report investigating the effects of Tai Chi (16), such repetitive posture change and the associated improvement in lower-limb strength might have stabilized the range of motion of the center of gravity. Thus, even a short-term LIO could improve balance as effectively as DBE in the elderly.

After the LIO program, left and right knee extension increased by $20.4 \%$ and $6.9 \%$, respectively. However, after the DBE program, it decreased slightly by $3.7 \%$ and $5.4 \%$, respectively. A difference between the left and right was probably because the participants tended to put an uneven load on both of the legs. Half-squats and forward lunges caused repetitive eccentric and concentric quadriceps contractions. Such local and mechanical stimulation may have been linked with the improvement in muscle strength. Thus, it is certain that even a short-duration and low-intensity LIO training can improve lower-limb muscle strength in the elderly as effectively as resistance machine training in older adults and LIO training in the young athletes $(14,17)$. Prior studies have reported an increase in plasma level of growth hormone in young subjects after the LIO $(17,18)$. In accordance with these studies, we observed similar increased levels of growth hormone in the elderly.

In the study of Takarada et al. (10), plasma levels of growth hormone, norepinephrine, and lactate increased remarkably immediately after LIO. Furthermore, LIO had a significant effect equal to or even greater than
high-intensity resistance training on an increase in muscular size and strength (11). The correlation among LIO, lactate, and growth hormone has been discussed as follows: due to moderate inhibition of muscle blood flow at the time of exercise, lactate as a potential cause of fatigue is produced and accumulates in muscles. Accordingly, even in a low intensity exercise with occlusion, additional motor unit recruitment is required. A large amount of growth hormone essential for muscle synthesis is released from the pituitary gland in the brain and carried by blood circulation throughout the body. Growth hormone delivered to all parts of the body via the blood stream is thought to act on muscle tissue, decompose body fat, and produce muscle. In our study, the 8-week LIO program improved physical function, especially muscle strength, which may be associated with the exercise-induced secretion of growth hormone.

The present study had the following limitations: 1) the small samples and short periods did not permit sufficient analysis of the effects on physical function; 2) the results were limited to the case of relatively healthy local elderly residents; and 3 ) while the results of relatively short-term (8-weeks) trial were obtained, the study could not observe sustained longer-term effects.

This is the first study of LIO designed as a training program targeted at elderly people living in a community. In order to investigate the effects of LIO on physical function, an 8 -week LIO program was conducted and compared with an 8-week DBE program. Muscle strength showed a significant increase after the LIO, which may be associated with exercise-induced secretion of growth hormone. This study confirmed that LIO was more effective than DBE in TUGT and muscle strength of knee extension. There were not a large difference of exercise compliance between LIO and DBE, suggesting that LIO could be useful for elderly people. These results suggest that LIO should be seen as one of the most promising physical training programs targeted at healthy elderly people.

## Acknowledgements

This study was financed by grants from the Ministry of Culture and Education in Japan. The authors wish to thank Yoshiko Kusada and Yuka Terasawa for their technical help and all the elderly volunteers who participated in this study.

## References

1. Gibbs J, Hughes S, Dunlop D, Singer R, Chang RW. Predictors of change in walking velocity in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 1996; 44:126-132.
2. Rantanen T, Avlund K, Suominen H, Schroll M, Frandin K, Pertti E. Muscle strength as a predictor of onset of ADL dependence in people aged 75 years. Ageing Clin Exp Res 2002; 14:10-15.
3. King AC, Rejeski WJ, Buchner DM. Physical activity
interventions targeting older adults. A critical review and recommendations. Am J Prev Med 1998; 15:316-333.
4. Yokokawa Y, Kai I, Usui Y, Kosoda F, furuta T, Konaka K. Intervention study using a fall prevention program to prevent functional decline of old-old elderly in a rural community. Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi 2003; 40:47-52.
5. Nnodim JO, Stansburg D, Naboxny M, Nyquist L, Galecki A, Cben S, Alezander NB. Dynamic balance and stepping versus tai chi training to improve balance and stepping in at-risk older adults. JAGS 2006; 54:1825-1831.
6. Guideline for the prevention of falls in older persons. American Geriatrics Society, British Geriatrics Society, and American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Panel on Falls Prevention. JAGS 2001; 49:664.
7. Winett RA, Carpienlli RN. Potential health-related benefits of resistance training. Prev Med 2001; 33:503-513.
8. Latham NK, Bennett DA, Stretton CM, Anderson CS. Systematic review of progressive resistance strength training in older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2004; 59:48-61.
9. McDonagh MJ, Davies CTM. Adaptive response of mammalian skeletal muscle to exercise with high loads. Eur J Appl Physiol 1984; 52:139-155.
10. Takarada Y, Nakamura Y, Aruga S, Onda T, Miyazaki S, Ishii N. Rapid increase in plasma growth hormone after low-intensity resistance exercise with vascular occlusion. J Appl Physiol 2000; 88:61-65.
11. Takarada Y, Takazawa H, Sato Y, Takebyashi S, Tanaka Y, Ishii N . Effects of resistance exercise combined with moderate vascular occlusion on muscular function in humans. J Appl Physiol 2000; 88:2097-2106.
12. Tanneke S, Annemarijke B, Johan WG, Vries JD, Goeken LNH, Eisma WH. The timed "up and go" test: reliability and validity in persons with unilateral lower limb amputation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1999; 80:825-828.
13. Brooks D, Davis MA, Naglie G. Validity of 3 physical performance measures in inpatient geriatric rehabilitation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2006; 87:105-110.
14. Henwood TR, Taaffe DR. Improved physical performance in older adults undertaking a shortterm programme of high-velocity resistance training. Gerontology 2005; 51:108-115.
15. Cirstea MC, Ptito A, Levin MF. Arm reaching improvements with short-term practice depend on the severity of the motor deficit in stroke. Exp Brain Res 2003; 152:476-488.
16. Li F, Harmer P, Fisher KJ, McAuley E. Tai Chi: Improving functional balance and predicting subsequent falls in older persons. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004; 26:2046-2052.
17. Takarada Y, Sato Y, Ishii N. Effects of resistance exercise combined with vascular occlusion on muscle function in athletes. Eur J Appl Physiol 2002; 86:308-314.
18. Hakkinen K, Pakarinen A, Kraemer WJ, Newton RU, Alen M. Basal concentrations and acute responses of serum hormones and strength development during heavy resistance training in middle-aged and elderly men and women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2000; 55:95-105.
(Received April 17, 2008; Revised June 11, 2008; Accepted June 12, 2008)

[^0]:    *Correspondence to: Dr. Yoshiharu Yokokawa, Department of Physical Therapy, School of Health Sciences, Shinshu University, Asahi 3-1-1, Mastumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan; e-mail: fhakuba@shinshu-u.ac.jp

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Values are mean $\pm$ SD. Repeated measures ANOVA is used to compare differences between two groups.
    b "Main effect by group" means that LIO group $(n=19)$ and DBE group $(n=25)$ are compared.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Abbreviations: TUGT, Timed Up and Go Test.

